arXiv:1512.01404v2 [hep-ph] 11 Dec 2015

Tests for Sivers, Boer-Mulders and transversity distributions in difference cross sections in SIDIS

Ekaterina Christova

Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria

E-mail: echristo@inrne.bas.bg

Elliot Leader

Imperial College, London, UK

E-mail: e.leader@imperial.ac.uk

Abstract. A major experimental program is presently underway to determine the Sivers, Böer-Mulders and transversity distributions, vital for understanding the internal structure of the nucleon. To this end we consider the Sivers, Böer-Mulders and transversity azimuthal asymmetries of the difference cross sections of hadrons with opposite charges in SIDIS reactions with unpolarized and transversely polarized target $l + N \to l' + h + X$, $h = \pi^{\pm}, K^{\pm}, h^{\pm}$. We show that on deuteron target these asymmetries are particularly simple and determine the sum of the valence-quark $Q_V = u_V + d_V$ transverse momentum dependent distributions without any contributions from the strange or other sea-quark functions.

At present, data on these asymmetries are presented for the integrated asymmetries i.e. the x_B- and z_h-dependent asymmetries. If data are available in small bins in Q^2, so that Q^2-dependence can be neglected, these expressions simplify dramatically leading to remarkably simple and powerful tests of the simplifying assumptions used in extracting these functions from the data.

1. Introduction

We consider the transverse momentum dependent (TMD) Sivers, Boer-Mulders (BM) and transversity functions, measured in transversely polarized or unpolarized semi-inclusive deep inelastic scattering (SIDIS) $l + N \to l' + h + X$.

At present, the extraction of these transverse momentum dependent (TMD) functions has been relatively simplistic, involving two key conventions:

a) The analysis is in leading order in perturbative QCD

b) Both the parton distributions (PDFs) and fragmentation functions (FFs) which depend on parton intrinsic momentum $k_\perp$, are typically parametrized under the following conventions: 1) a factorized form for the $x_{_B}/z_h$ and $k_\perp$-dependences:

$$\Delta f(x_{_B} \text{ or } z_h, k_\perp^2) = \Delta f(x_{_B} \text{ or } z_h)\, e^{-k_\perp^2/\langle k_\perp^2 \rangle}\,. \tag{1}$$

2) the $x_{_B}(z_h)$-dependence is proportional to the collinear PDFs (FFs), 3) the Q^2-evolution is in the collinear PDFs (FFs) and 4) the $k_\perp$–dependence is Gaussian type and flavour independent.

The functional form of the TMD functions is still under discussion and these are the simplest, commonly used at present *standard* parametrizations. Additional assumptions about the sea-quark contributions are made as well. For BM functions it is assumed that they are proportional to Sivers functions.

The goal of our studies is to show that if one uses the so called "difference" asymmetries one can 1) obtain information about the valence-quark TMDs without contributions from the sea-quarks, 2) test the standard parametrization and the assumption about BM function using relations between measurable quantities only. These tests are crutial as they would verify to what extend the used standard parametrizations provide a reliable information on the TMD distributions for the present set of data.

The "difference" cross section asymmetries are combinations of the type:

$$A^{h-\bar{h}} \equiv \frac{\Delta\sigma^h - \Delta\sigma^{\bar{h}}}{\sigma^h - \sigma^{\bar{h}}} \tag{2}$$

where σ^h and $\Delta\sigma^h = \sigma^{h\uparrow} - \sigma^{h\downarrow}$ are the unpolarized and polarized cross sections respectively. The arrows indicate the polarization of the target, and h and $\bar{h}$ are hadrons with opposite charges.

Previously the difference cross section asymmetries [1, 2] have appeared rather useful in the simple collinear picture and the valence quark helicity parton densities and fragmentation functions were determined directly [3]. Here we extend our studies to the non-collinear picture when transverse momentum dependence is included.

Presently, data on Sivers, Boer-Mulders (BM) and transversity asymmetries are presented as functions of only one of the kinematic variables $x_{_B}$ or z_h, sometimes with, in addition, the Q^2 and P_T dependence, with integration over the measured intervals of the other variables. We obtain analytic expressions for the $x_{_B}$ and z_h dependence of the asymmetries. These expression strongly simplify if in the measured kinematic intervals the binning in Q^2 is small enough to allow the neglect of the Q^2-dependence of the parton densities and FFs. Here we shall present the general formulae for Sivers asymmetries only, and the formulae when this simplification is valid for all asymmetries. Most of the presented results can be found in more details in ref. [4].

We present the asymmetries on deuteron target, when the asymmetries provide information on the sum of the valence-quark TMDs Δf_{Q_V}, $Q_V = u_V + d_V$ and the results appear especially simple. The results on proton targets are obtained with the simple replacement $\Delta f_{Q_V} \to e_u^2 \Delta f_{u_V} - e_d^2 \Delta f_{d_V}$ for final pions and $\Delta f_{Q_V} \to e_u^2 \Delta f_{u_V}$ for final kaons. The explicit expressions for them are given in ref.[4].

2. Sivers distribution functions

The Sivers distribution function $\Delta^N f_{q/S_T}(x_{_B}, k_\perp)$ appears in the expression for the number density of unpolarized quarks q with intrinsic transverse momentum $\mathbf{k}_\perp$ in a transversely polarized proton $p^\uparrow$ with 3-momentum $\mathbf{P}$ and transverse spin $\mathbf{S_T}$ [5]:

$$f_{q/p^\uparrow}(x_{_B}, \mathbf{k}_\perp) = f_{q/p}(x_{_B}, k_\perp) + \frac{1}{2}\, \Delta^N f_{q/S_T}(x_{_B}, k_\perp)\; \mathbf{S_T} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{P}} \times \hat{\mathbf{k}}_\perp) \tag{3}$$

where $f_{q/p}(x_{_B}, k_\perp)$ are the unpolarized $x_{_B}$ and $k_\perp$-dependent parton densities and the triple product induces a definite azimuthal $\sin(\phi_h - \phi_S)$-dependence, ϕ_h and ϕ_S are the azimuthal angles of the final hadron and the spin of the target, $x_B = Q^2/2(P.q)$ is the usual Bjorken variable.

To access the Sivers TMDs one considers the $\sin(\phi_h - \phi_S)$ azimuthal moment $A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h - \phi_S)}$ of the transverse single-spin asymmetry in SIDIS [6]. In accordance with this we define Sivers

asymmetry for the difference cross section analogously:

$$A_{UT}^{Siv,h-\bar{h}}(x_{_B},Q^2,z_h,P_T^2) \;=\; \frac{1}{S_T}\,\frac{\int d\phi_h d\phi_S \left[d^6\sigma^{\uparrow}-d^6\sigma^{\downarrow}\right]^{h-\bar{h}}\,\sin(\phi_h-\phi_S)}{\int d\phi_h d\phi_S \left[d^6\sigma^{\uparrow}+d^6\sigma^{\downarrow}\right]^{h-\bar{h}}} \tag{4}$$

where $d^6\sigma^{\uparrow,\downarrow}=d\sigma^{\ell\,p^{\uparrow,\downarrow}\to\ell' h X}/(dx_{_B}\,dQ^2\,dz_h\,dP_T^2\,d\phi_h\,d\phi_S)$ stands for the differential cross section of SIDIS with an unpolarized lepton beam on a transversely polarized target in the kinematic region $P_T\simeq k_\perp\ll Q$ at order $(k_\perp/Q)$, P_T is the transverse momentum of the final hadron in the $\gamma*-p$ c.m. frame, and z_h, Q^2 and y are the usual measurable SIDIS quantities:

$$z_h=\frac{(P.P_h)}{(P.q)},\quad Q^2=-q^2,\quad q=l-l',\quad y=\frac{(P.q)}{(P.l)},\quad Q^2=2MEx_{_B}y \tag{5}$$

with l and l', P and P_h the 4-momenta of the initial and final leptons, and hadrons. Throughout the paper we follow the notation and kinematics of ref. [6].

In general, only the valence-quark TMD functions enter the difference cross sections. When a deuteron target is used, a further simplification occurs – independently of the final hadrons, only one parton density enters – the sum of the valence quarks:

$$\Delta f_{Q_V/S_T}(x_{_B},k_\perp)\equiv\Delta f_{u_V/S_T}(x_{_B},k_\perp)+\Delta f_{d_V/S_T}(x_{_B},k_\perp) \tag{6}$$

Following the convention for the standard parametrizations, we adopt the following form for valence-quark Sivers function:

$$\Delta f_{Q_V/S_T}(x_{_B},k_\perp)=\Delta f_{Q_V/S_T}(x_{_B})\,\sqrt{2e}\,\frac{k_\perp}{M_{_S}}\,\frac{e^{-k_\perp^2/\langle k_\perp^2\rangle_S}}{\pi\langle k_\perp^2\rangle} \tag{7}$$

where

$$\Delta f_{Q_V/S_T}(x_{_B},Q^2)=2\,\mathcal{N}_{Siv}^{Q_V}(x_{_B})\;Q_V(x_{_B},Q^2) \tag{8}$$

and $Q_V=u_V+d_V$ is the sum of the collinear valence PDFs, and

$$\langle k_\perp^2\rangle_{_S}=\frac{\langle k_\perp^2\rangle\,M_{_S}^2}{\langle k_\perp^2\rangle+M_{_S}^2}\,. \tag{9}$$

The unknowns are $M_{_S}$ (involved in the definition of $\langle k_\perp^2\rangle_{_S}$) and $\mathcal{N}_{Siv}^{Q_V}(x_{_B})$. They are to be determined in the integrated z_h- and $x_{_B}$- Sivers difference asymmetries, for which we obtain:

$$A_{UT}^{Siv,h-\bar{h}}(z_h) \;=\; \mathcal{B}_{Siv}^h(z_h)\,\frac{z_h}{\sqrt{\langle p_\perp^2\rangle+z_h^2\langle k_\perp^2\rangle_{_S}}},\qquad h=\pi^+,K^+,h^+ \tag{10}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{Siv}^h(z_h) \;=\; A_{Siv}\,\frac{\int dx_{_B}\,\int dQ^2\,\frac{1+(1-y)^2}{Q^4}\,\Delta f_{Q_V/S_T}(x_{_B},Q^2)\,D_{u_V}^h(z_h,Q^2)}{2\,\int dx_{_B}\,\int dQ^2\,\frac{1+(1-y)^2}{Q^4}\,Q_V(x_{_B},Q^2)\,D_{u_V}^h(z_h,Q^2)} \tag{11}$$

and

$$A_{UT}^{Siv,h-\bar{h}}(x_{_B}) \;=\; \mathcal{C}_{Siv}^h(x_{_B})\,\mathcal{N}_{Siv}^{Q_V}(x_{_B}),\qquad h=\pi^+,K^+,h^+ \tag{12}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{Siv}^h(x_{_B}) \;=\; A_{Siv}\frac{\int dQ^2\,\frac{1+(1-y)^2}{Q^4}\,Q_V(x_{_B},Q^2)\,\int dz_h\,z_h\left[D_{u_V}^h(z_h,Q^2)\right]/\sqrt{\langle P_T^2\rangle_{_S}}}{\int dQ^2\,\frac{1+(1-y)^2}{Q^4}\,Q_V(x_{_B},Q^2)\,\int dz_h\,D_{u_V}^h(z_h,Q^2)}\,. \tag{13}$$

Eqs. (10) and (12) determine $\mathcal{N}^{Siv}(x_{_B})$ and $\langle k_\perp^2\rangle_{_S}$ without any contributions from the sea quarks.

For bins corresponding to a reasonably small interval ΔQ^2 in Q^2, we replace the integral over Q^2 by ΔQ^2 times the Q^2-dependent functions evaluated at the mean value $\bar{Q}^2$ for the bin. Then (10) and (12) become particularly simple:

$$A_{UT}^{Siv,h-\bar{h}}(z_h,\bar{Q}^2) \;=\; \bar{B}_{Siv}(\bar{Q}^2)\,\frac{z_h}{\sqrt{\langle p_\perp^2\rangle + z_h^2\langle k_\perp^2\rangle_{_S}}}, \tag{14}$$

$$A_{UT}^{Siv,h-\bar{h}}(x_{_B},\bar{Q}^2) \;=\; \bar{C}_{Siv}^{h}(\bar{Q}^2)\,\mathcal{N}_{Siv}^{Q_V}(x_{_B})\,, \tag{15}$$

as $\bar{B}_{Siv}$ and $\bar{C}_{Siv}^h$ are constant factors:

$$\bar{B}_{Siv}(\bar{Q}^2) \;=\; A_{Siv}\,\frac{\int dx_{_B}\;[1+(1-\bar{y})^2]\,\Delta f_{Q_V/S_T}(x_{_B},\bar{Q}^2)}{2\int dx_{_B}\;[1+(1-\bar{y})^2]\,Q_V(x_{_B},\bar{Q}^2)}, \quad \forall\, h \tag{16}$$

$$\bar{C}_{Siv}^{h}(\bar{Q}^2) \;=\; A_{Siv}\,\frac{\int dz_h\, z_h\left[D_{u_V}^h(z_h,\bar{Q}^2)\right]/\sqrt{\langle P_T^2\rangle_{_S}}}{\int dz_h\, D_{u_V}^h(z_h,\bar{Q}^2)}, \quad h=\pi^+,K^+ \tag{17}$$

Here

$$\bar{y}=\frac{\bar{Q}^2}{2MEx_{_B}}, \quad A_{Siv}=\frac{\sqrt{e\pi}}{2\sqrt{2}}\,\frac{\langle k_\perp^2\rangle_{_S}^2}{M_{_S}\,\langle k_\perp^2\rangle}, \quad \langle P_T^2\rangle_{_S}=\langle p_\perp^2\rangle + z_h^2\langle k_\perp^2\rangle_{_S} \tag{18}$$

Eq. (14) is remarkably strong prediction both for its explicit z_h behaviour, determined solely by the Gaussian dependence on $k_\perp$, and for its independence of h. Eq. (16) implies that Sivers $x_{_B}$-asymmetries should have the same $x_{_B}$-behaviour for all final hadrons.

3. Boer-Mulders distributions

The extraction of Boer-Mulders and transversity distributions is more complicated as compared to Sivers parton densities. The reason is that Sivers functions enter the cross section in convolution with the unpolarized TMD fragmentation functions, *known* from multiplicities, while the BM and transversity functions enter the cross sections in convolution with the transversely polarized TMD FFs, the so called Collins functions $\Delta^N D_{h/q^\uparrow}(z_h,p_\perp)$. The latter can, in principle, be extracted from $e^+e^-\to h_1h_2X$, but at present are rather poorly known.

The Boer-Mulders function determines the distribution of transversely polarized quarks $q^\uparrow$ in an unpolarized proton p [7]:

$$\Delta^N f_{q^\uparrow/p}(x_B,k_\perp)\equiv\Delta f^q_{s_y/p}(x_B,k_\perp)=-\frac{k_\perp}{m_p}\,h_1^\perp(x_B,k_\perp)\,. \tag{19}$$

It is accessed measuring the $\cos 2\phi_h$-momentum in unpolarized SIDIS differential cross section. The difference BM asymmetry is defined respectively:

$$A_{_{BM}}^{h-\bar{h}}=\frac{\int d\phi_h\,\cos 2\phi_h\,d^5\sigma^{h-\bar{h}}}{\int d\phi_h\,d^5\sigma^{h-\bar{h}}}\,. \tag{20}$$

On deuteron target, independently of the final hadrons $h-\bar{h}$, it determines only the sum of the valence quark BM distribution:

$$\Delta f^{Q_V}_{s_y/p}(x_{_B},k_\perp)\equiv\Delta f^{u_V}_{s_y/p}(x_{_B},k_\perp)+\Delta f^{d_V}_{s_y/p}(x_{_B},k_\perp) \tag{21}$$

that is parametrized accordingly:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta f^{Q_V}_{s_y/p}(x_{_B},k_\perp,Q^2) &= \Delta f^{Q_V}_{s_y/p}(x_{_B},Q^2)\,\sqrt{2e}\,\frac{k_\perp}{M_{_{BM}}}\,\frac{e^{-k_\perp^2/\langle k_\perp^2\rangle_{BM}}}{\pi\langle k_\perp^2\rangle_{BM}}\\ \Delta f^{Q_V}_{s_y/p}(x_{_B},Q^2) &= 2\,\mathcal{N}^{Q_V}_{_{BM}}(x_{_B})\,Q_V(x_{_B},Q^2),\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where $\mathcal{N}^{Q_V}_{_{BM}}(x_{_B})$ and $M_{_{BM}}$ are to be determined from the integrated BM asymmetries. Here we present only the $x_{_B}$-dependent asymmetry. For all final h it determines the same $\mathcal{N}^{Q_V}_{_{BM}}$:

$$A_{_{BM}}(x_{_B})^{h-\bar h} = \mathcal{C}^h_{_{BM}}(x_{_B})\,\mathcal{N}^{Q_V}_{_{BM}}(x_{_B}), \qquad \forall\, h \tag{23}$$

where for $h=\pi^+,K^+$ we have:

$$\mathcal{C}^h_{_{BM}}(x_{_B}) \;=\; -4e\,A_{_{BM}}\,A_{Coll}\,\frac{\int\!\int dQ^2\,dz_h\,\frac{1-y}{Q^4}\,Q_V(x_{_B})\,z_h\,\Delta^N D_{h/u_V\uparrow}(z_h)\,/\langle P_T^2\rangle_{_{BM}}}{\int\!\int dQ^2\,dz_h\,\frac{1+(1-y)^2}{Q^4}\,Q_V(x_{_B})\,D^h_{u_V}(z_h)}\,. \tag{24}$$

For $h=h^\pm$ the relative expression is given in [4].

If Q^2-evolution can be neglected, then $A_{_{BM}}(x_{_B})^{h-\bar h}$ is determined solely by $\mathcal{N}^{Q_V}_{_{BM}}$:

$$A^{h-\bar h}_{_{BM}}(x_{_B},\bar Q^2) = \frac{1-\bar y}{1+(1-\bar y)^2}\;\bar C^h_{_{BM}}(\bar Q^2)\,\mathcal{N}^{Q_V}_{_{BM}}(x_{_B}), \quad h=\pi^+,K^+,h^+ \tag{25}$$

where $\bar C^h_{_{BM}}$ are constant factors. This implies, in particular, that $A_{_{BM}}(x_{_B})^{h-\bar h}$ have the same $x_{_B}$-behaviour:

$$A^{\pi^+-\pi^-}_{_{BM}}(x_{_B}) \simeq A^{K^+-K^-}_{_{BM}}(x_{_B}) \simeq A^{h^+-h^-}_{_{BM}}(x_{_B}) \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{A^{\pi^+-\pi^-}_{_{BM}}(x_{_B})}{A^{h^+-h^-}_{_{BM}}(x_{_B})} = \ldots = \text{const} \tag{26}$$

4. Relations between BM and Sivers asymmetries

In current analysis an additional simplifying assumption is made, namely the BM function is assumed proportional to its chiral-even partner – the Sivers function [8]. In our case this reads:

$$\Delta f^{Q_V}_{s_y/p}(x,k_\perp) = \frac{\lambda_{Q_V}}{2}\,\Delta f_{Q_V/S_T}(x,k_\perp), \tag{27}$$

where λ_{Q_V} is a fitting parameter. This implies that BM and Sivers $x_{_B}$-asymmetries measure the same $\mathcal{N}^{Q_V}_{Siv}$ and they are related:

$$\frac{A^{h-\bar h}_{_{BM}}(x_{_B})}{A^{Siv,h-\bar h}_{UT}(x_{_B})} = \frac{\lambda_{Q_V}}{2}\,\mathcal{C}^h(x_{_B}), \quad h=\pi^+,K^+,h^+ \tag{28}$$

where $\mathcal{C}^h(x_{_B})$ is independent of $\mathcal{N}_{Siv}$:

$$\mathcal{C}^h(x_{_B}) = \frac{-4\sqrt{2e}}{\sqrt{\pi}}\,\frac{\int\!\int dQ^2\,dz_h\,\frac{1-y}{Q^4}\,Q_V(x_{_B},Q^2) z_h\,\Delta^N D_{h/u_V\uparrow}(z_h,Q^2)/\langle P_T^2\rangle_{\widetilde{BM}}}{\int\!\int dQ^2\,dz_h\,\frac{1+(1-y)^2}{Q^4}\,Q_V(x_{_B},Q^2) z_h\,D^h_{u_V}(z_h,Q^2)/\sqrt{\langle P_T^2\rangle_{Siv}}}, \quad h=\pi^+,K^+ \tag{29}$$

If Q^2-evolution can be neglected the ratio of the asymmetries is completely fixed:

$$\frac{A^{h-\bar h}_{_{BM}}(x_{_B})}{A^{Siv,h-\bar h}_{UT}(x_{_B})} = \frac{\lambda_{Q_V}}{2}\,\frac{1-\bar y}{1+(1-\bar y)^2}\;\bar C^h(\bar Q^2), \tag{30}$$

where $\bar C^h$ is a constant factor.

Thus, if data exist for a range of x_B values at the same $\bar Q$, eq. (30) should allow a test of the used connection between BM and Sivers functions without requiring knowledge of BM, Sivers or even Collins functions, involving only measurable quantities.

5. Relations between BM and Collins asymmetries

The distribution of transversely polarized quarks $q^\uparrow$ in a transversely polarized proton $p^\uparrow$ defines the transversity distributions $h_{1q}(x_{_B})$ or $\Delta_T q(x_{_B})$:

$$\Delta_T q(x_{_B}) = h_{1q}(x_{_B}) = \int d^2\mathbf{k}_\perp \, h_{1q}(x_{_B}, k_\perp)\,, \tag{31}$$

where $h_{1q}(x_{_B}, k_\perp)$ is the transversity distribution depending on the parton transverse momentum. It is selected by the Collins asymmetry, which for the difference cross sections is:

$$A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h+\phi_S),h-\bar{h}} \;=\; \frac{1}{S_T}\,\frac{\int d\phi_h d\phi_S \left[d^6\sigma^\uparrow - d^6\sigma^\downarrow\right]^{h-\bar{h}} \sin(\phi_h+\phi_S)}{\int d\phi_h d\phi_S \left[d^6\sigma^\uparrow + d^6\sigma^\downarrow\right]^{h-\bar{h}}}\,. \tag{32}$$

Again, on deuteron target it measures the sum of the valence-quarks transverse distributions:

$$h_{1Q_V}(x_{_B}, k_\perp) \;\equiv\; h_{1u_V}(x_{_B}, k_\perp) + h_{1d_V}(x_{_B}, k_\perp) \tag{33}$$

$$h_{1Q_V}(x_{_B}, k_\perp) \;=\; \frac{1}{2}\,\mathcal{N}_{_T}^{Q_V}(x_{_B})\,\left[Q_V(x_{_B}) + \Delta Q_V(x_{_B})\right]\,\frac{e^{-k_\perp^2/\langle k_\perp^2\rangle_{_T}}}{\pi\langle k_\perp^2\rangle_{_T}}, \tag{34}$$

where $\langle k_\perp^2\rangle_{_T}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{_T}^{Q_V}(x_{_B})$ are the unknown quantities.

Common for BM and Collins difference asymmetries is that they are convoluted with the same Collins FF, $\Delta^N D_{h^+/u_V\uparrow}(z_h, p_\perp)$, and that the $x_{_B}$ and z_h dependencies factorize. This allows to relate the z_h-dependent BM and Collins asymmetries. This relation is especially simple for small enough bins in Q^2 with a completely fixed z_h-dependence and the same for all final hadrons:

$$\frac{A_{_{BM}}^{h-\bar{h}}(z_h)}{A_{UT}^{Coll,h-\bar{h}}(z_h)} = \frac{\lambda_{Q_V}}{2}\,\frac{z_h\,\sqrt{\langle P_T^2\rangle_{\widetilde{T}}}}{\langle P_T^2\rangle_{\widetilde{BM}}}\,\bar{B}, \quad h=\pi^+, K^+ \tag{35}$$

where $\langle P_T^2\rangle_{\widetilde{BM}}$ and $\langle P_T^2\rangle_{\widetilde{T}}$ are fixed by the assumed Gaussian form:

$$\langle P_T^2\rangle_{\widetilde{BM}} = \langle p_\perp^2\rangle_{_C} + z_h^2\langle k_\perp^2\rangle_{_S}, \quad \langle P_T^2\rangle_{\widetilde{T}} = \langle p_\perp^2\rangle_{_C} + z_h^2\langle k_\perp^2\rangle \tag{36}$$

and $\bar{B}$ is independent of, both, z_h and the final hadron. Eq. (35) will hold only if $\Delta^N D_{h^+/u_V\uparrow}$ enters both $A_{_{BM}}^{h-\bar{h}}$ and $A^{Coll,h-\bar{h}}$ and thus, it presents a remarkably simple test, involving only measurable quantities, not only of the standard parametrization, but of the whole QCD picture.

Acknowledgments

E.C. is grateful to Oleg Teryaev for helpful discussions, to Bakur Parsamyan for stressing the experimental importance of the proton data, and for the hospitality of JINR where the paper was finalized. E.L is grateful to The Leverhulme Trust for an Emeritus Fellowship.

References

[1] E. Christova and E.Leader, Nucl. Phys. **B607** (2001) 369 (arXiv:hep-ph/0007303); Phys. Rev. D **79** (2009) 014019 (arXiv:0809.0191)

[2] E. Christova, Phys. Rev. **D 90** (2014) 054005 (arXiv:1407.5872);

[3] M. Alekseev et al., COMPASS collab., Phys. Lett. **B660** (2008) 458; E. Leader, A. Sidorov, D. Stamenov, Phys. Rev. **D 90** (2014) 054026 (arXiv:1406.4678)

[4] E. Christova and E.Leader, Phys. Rev. **D92** (2015) 114004 (arXiv:1507.01399)

[5] D.Sivers, Phys. Rev. **D41** (1990) 83; **43**(1991) 261

[6] M. Anselmino, M. Boglione, U. D'Alesio, S. Melis, F. Murgia, E.R. Nocera and A. Prokudin, Phys. Rev. D **83** (2011) 114019 (arXiv:1101.1011)

[7] D. Boer and P.J.Mulders, Phys. Rev. **D 57** (1998) 5780

[8] Vincenzo Barone, Alexei Prokudin and Bo-Qiang Ma, Phys. Rev. **D 78** (2008) 045022